

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/751,635	12/29/2000	Stephen S. Selkirk	00-059-DSK	9246
759	90 04/22/2005		EXAM	INER
Wayne P. Bailey			LANE, JOHN A	
Storage Technology Corporation One StorageTek Drive Louisville, CO 80028-4309			ART UNIT	DA DED AND ARE
				PAPER NUMBER
			2188	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/751,635	SELKIRK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jack A Lane	2188			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N	<u>farch 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-10 and 17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-10 and 17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
. ,					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050420			

Application/Control Number: 09/751,635 Page 2

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to the amendment filed 03/03/2005. Claims 1, 4-10 and 17 are presented for examination. Claims 15-16 are withdrawn from consideration. Any objections or rejections made in the previous office action not specifically repeated below are withdrawn or have been overcome by applicant's response.

- 2. This application contains claim15-16 drawn to a nonelected invention. A complete reply to this Office action must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2188

4. Claims 1, 4-10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Voigt et al. (Pat. No. 5,960,451).

Voigt teaches the claimed "virtual stored data management subsystem" as circuitry including administrator module 46 and data storage system 24 shown in figure 2. The claimed "one or more hosts" corresponds to computer 22. The claimed "pool of heterogeneous storage" corresponds to NVRAM cache memory 62A, 62B and disk array 50. The claimed "virtual data units" corresponds to the logical storage units (LUNs). The claimed "associated management information" including "first and second boundaries...that limit preferences" corresponds to parameters/preferences such as physical capacity, number of storage disks, allocated capacity, characteristics of the RAID, percentage to be used (col. 2, line 55 – col. 3, line 2) performance (col. 4, line 16) and availability (col. 7, lines 26-42). The claimed "logical device definitions" corresponds to LUN types, sizes, and identification numbers (col. 6, lines 55-57). Applicant should note maps within administrator module relate the various views of storage as discussed at column 5, lines 7-35

In the Remarks filed 06/16/03, applicant argues:

The claimed virtual data units are an abstraction layer above a logical device (as they are mapped into logical device definitions), and are therefore different from a logical device/logical storage unit as taught by [Voight].

Application/Control Number: 09/751,635

Art Unit: 2188

In response, Voight teaches a RAID management system 56 that is an abstraction layer below the logical device/logical storage units (LUNs) within administrator module 46. Voight teaches the LUNS or LUN types are configured from application level virtual storage space which is an abstraction layer above RAID-level virtual storage and physical storage (col. 5. lines 7-20). That is, the LUNS (virtual storage units) are mapped into RAID-level virtual storage units which are then mapped into physical storage units. This interpretation corresponds to applicants argument that the virtual data units (LUNs) are an abstraction layer above the logical device definitions (RAID-level virtual storage). Applicant should note the instant claims do not define the claimed virtual data units as an abstraction layer above the logical device definitions. Applicant questions whether "map the logical storage units (LUNS) into LUN types/sizes/ID numbers makes sense. The examiner contends the LUNS are given a type/size/ID in RAID management system 56, thus it can be said the LUNS are mapped into a specific type or size of LUN.

5. Applicant's arguments filed 03/03/2005 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant's Remarks filed 03/03/2005 are not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.111. That is, the response does not present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over the applied reference.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,635

Art Unit: 2188

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for Official communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 872-9306, (for Non-Official or draft communications, please label "Non-Official" or "DRAFT")

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack A. Lane whose telephone number is 571

Application/Control Number: 09/751,635

Art Unit: 2188

272-4208. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 571 272-4210.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 272-2100

JACK A. LANE PRIMARY EXAMINER